



This form should be used for all taxonomic proposals. Please complete all those modules that are applicable (and then delete the unwanted sections). For guidance, see the notes written in blue and the separate document "Help with completing a taxonomic proposal"

Please try to keep related proposals within a single document; you can copy the modules to create more than one genus within a new family, for example.

MODULE 1: **TITLE, AUTHORS, etc**

Code assigned:	2011.004abB	(to be completed by ICTV officers)			
Short title: Correct errors in genus "AHJD-like viruses" (proposed name <i>Ahjdlikevirus</i>) (e.g. 6 new species in the genus <i>Zetavirus</i>)					
Modules attached (modules 1 and 9 are required)	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/> 7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/> 8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/> 9 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>

Author(s) with e-mail address(es) of the proposer:

Mike Adams (mike.adams@bbsrc.ac.uk)
Rob Lavigne (rob.lavigne@biw.kuleuven.be)

List the ICTV study group(s) that have seen this proposal:

A list of study groups and contacts is provided at <http://www.ictvonline.org/subcommittees.asp> . If in doubt, contact the appropriate subcommittee chair (fungal, invertebrate, plant, prokaryote or vertebrate viruses)

Prokaryote SC

ICTV-EC or Study Group comments and response of the proposer:

Date first submitted to ICTV:

Date of this revision (if different to above):

MODULE 7: **REMOVE and MOVE**

Use this module whenever an existing taxon needs to be removed:

- Either to abolish a taxon entirely (when only part (a) needs to be completed)
- Or to move a taxon and re-assign it e.g. when a species is moved from one genus to another (when BOTH parts (a) and (b) should be completed)

Part (a) taxon/taxa to be removed or moved

Code	2011.004aB	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To remove the following taxon (or taxa) from their present position:		
<i>44AHJD</i>		
The present taxonomic position of these taxon/taxa:		
Genus:	‘AHJD-like viruses’	Fill in all that apply.
Subfamily:	<i>Picovirinae</i>	
Family:	<i>Podoviridae</i>	
Order:	<i>Caudovirales</i>	
If the taxon/taxa are to be abolished (i.e. not reassigned to another taxon) write “yes” in the box on the right		YES
Reasons to justify the removal:		
Explain why the taxon (or taxa) should be removed		
See Module 8		

MODULE 8: **NON-STANDARD**

Template for any proposal not covered by modules 2-7. This includes proposals to change the name of existing taxa (but note that stability of nomenclature is encouraged wherever possible).

non-standard proposal

Code	2011.004bB	(assigned by ICTV officers)
Title of proposal: Change the name of species <i>Staphylococcus phage AHJD</i> to <i>Staphylococcus phage 44AHJD</i>		

Text of proposal:

The MSL lists the following 3 species in the genus 'AHJD-like viruses' (subfamily *Picovirinae*, family *Podoviridae*, order *Caudovirales*):

44AHJD

Staphylococcus phage AHJD (type species)

Streptococcus phage C1

The relevant proposals as listed in the 2009 ratification document are:

2008.006-009B

2008.006B create new genus in the subfamily *Picovirinae*, family *Podoviridae*

2008.007B name the new genus "AHJD-like viruses" (note: this name is proposed to be changed to *Ahjdlikevirus*)

2008.008B create two species (*Staphylococcus phage AHJD*, *Streptococcus phage C1*) in the new genus

2008.009B designate *Staphylococcus phage AHJD* as type species of the new genus

2008.010B

2008.010B create species named *44AHJD* in the genus "AHJD-like viruses"

If you consult the documents themselves, 2008.008B actually lists the species names as *Staphylococcus phage 44AHJD* and *Streptococcus phage C1* and assigns the first of these as the type species in 2008.009B. Proposal 2008.010B should never have been made – it is clearly intended to refer again to *Staphylococcus phage 44AHJD* but actually uses the short form *44AHJD* that has thus found its way (as an illegal name!) into the MSL. There is thus a combination of mistakes – partly in the EC papers but partly because of an unnecessary and wrongly completed second proposal.