

**Part 1:** **TITLE, AUTHORS, APPROVALS, etc**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Code assigned:** | **2021.068B** |  |
| **Short title:** Create one new genus (*Puppervirus*) including one new species (*Caudoviricetes*) | | |
|  | | |

**Author(s) and email address(es)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Turner D, Tolstoy I, Kropinski AM | dann2.turner@uwe.ac.uk;  tolstoy@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov;  Phage.Canada@gmail.com |

**Author(s) institutional address(es) (optional)**

|  |
| --- |
| University of the West of England at Bristol, UK [DT]  NCBI, USA [IT]  University of Guelph, Canada [AMK] |

**Corresponding author**

|  |
| --- |
| Andrew M. Kropinski |

**List the ICTV Study Group(s) that have seen this proposal**

|  |
| --- |
| Actinobacteriophage Study Group, Bacterial Viruses Subcommittee |

**ICTV study group comments and response of proposer**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Authority to use the name of a living person**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Is any taxon name used here derived from that of a living person (Y/N)** | N |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Taxon name** | **Person from whom the name is derived** | **Permission attached (Y/N)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Submission dates**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Date first submitted to SC Chair | May 2021 |
| Date of this revision (if different to above) |  |

**ICTV-EC comments and response of the proposer**

|  |
| --- |
| Acceptance of proposal 2021.001B.abolish\_Caudovirales by EC53 results in removal of the order *Caudovirales* and families *Myoviridae*, *Podoviridae* and *Siphoviridae*. All underlying taxa are to be assigned directly to the class *Caudoviricetes*. The Excel module of this proposal has been altered to reflect the future changes; however, the Word module has been unaltered while awaiting the ratification vote. |

**Part 3:** **TAXONOMIC PROPOSAL**

**Name of accompanying Excel module**

|  |
| --- |
| 2021.068B.R.Puppervirus |

**Abstract**

|  |
| --- |
| Gordonia phage Pupper is a lytic myovirus which is currently unclassified in GenBank and in the Actinobacteriophage Database it is classed in Cluster DO. Our analyses reveal that this Cluster could be subdivided and we have done so with the creation of a new genus, *Puppervirus.* |

**Text of proposal**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **Species demarcation criteria:** Two phages are assigned to the same species if their genomes are more than 95% identical over their genome length for isolates.  These values can be calculated by a number of tools, such as BLASTn – usually calculated using intergenomic distance calculator VIRIDIC [3].  **Genus demarcation criteria:** In search for criteria that create cohesive and distinct genera that are reproducible and monophyletic, the Bacterial Viruses Subcommittee has established 70% nucleotide identity of the genome length as the cut-off for genera. Genus-level groupings should always be monophyletic in the signature genes, as tested with a phylogenetic tree. [4] | |

**Supporting evidence**

**ViPTree analysis:** ViPTree analysis ([https://www.genome.jp/viptree/](about:blank); [11]) is based upon Rohwer and Edwards (2002) famous Phage Proteomic Tree [12]. The phages of interest are indicated with **red lines**. The data indicates that this phage is probably part of a subfamily with S*kogvirus*, and a new family, but we choose not to propose either at this time.
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**VIRIDIC heat map:** VIRIDIC (Virus Intergenomic Distance Calculator; [10]; [http://rhea.icbm.uni-oldenburg.de/VIRIDIC/](about:blank)) computes pairwise intergenomic distances/similarities amongst phage genomes.
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**Phylogeny:** The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the major capsid proteins of these phages with phylogeny.fr in “one click” mode [8]. "The "One Click mode" targets users that do not wish to deal with program and parameter selection. By default, the pipeline is already set up to run and connect programs recognized for their accuracy and speed (MUSCLE for multiple alignment and PhyML for phylogeny) to reconstruct a robust phylogenetic tree from a set of sequences." It also includes the use of Gblocks to eliminate poorly aligned positions and divergent regions. "The usual bootstrapping procedure is replaced by a new confidence index that is much faster to compute. See: Anisimova M., Gascuel O. Approximate likelihood ratio test for branches: A fast, accurate and powerful alternative [9] for details." The new genera are indicated with arrows or boxes.
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**Source of the name of this taxon:** This genus is named after Gordonia phage Pupper.

**History:** Lytic Gordonia myovirus Pupper was isolated in 2016 by Justin Antonucci (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA USA) from soil using Gordonia terrae 3612 as the host bacterium. This was part of the Science Education Alliance-Phage Hunters Advancing Genomics and Evolutionary Science program. The genome is circularly permuted. The Actinobacteriophage Database classifies this phage Cluster DO with phages Skog, but our analyses reveal that it is sufficiently different from Skog to be considered part of a new genus.

**Specific Reference:** None

**GenBank Summary:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Phage name | INSDC | Size (Kb) | GC% | Protein | tRNAs | Overall DNA sequence identity (\*\*) | % common proteins (\*\*) |
| Gordonia phage Pupper | [MK977695.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MK977695.1) | 150.83 | 66.1 | [233](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse/#!/proteins/82333/596104%7CGordonia%20phage%20Pupper/viral%20segment/) | 1 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Gordonia phage SCentae is a strain in this genus**

**(\*) Determined using BLASTN [1-3]**

**(\*\*) Determined using CoreGenes 3.5 at** [**http://binf.gmu.edu:8080/CoreGenes3.5/**](http://binf.gmu.edu:8080/CoreGenes3.5/) **[4]**

**BLASTN homologs:** [1-3]. The closest relative is Gordonia phage Skog with which it shares 64.3% DNA similarity. While this is sufficient to create a new subfamily we do not choose to do so at this time.

**Electron micrograph:** Electron micrographs of negatively stained Gordonia phage Pupper (https://phagesdb.org/phages/Pupper/). Limited permission was granted by The Actinobacteriophages Database (https://phagesdb.org/), funded by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, to use this electron micrograph for this taxonomy proposal; it cannot be reused without permission of The Actinobacteriophages Database.
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