

This form should be used for all taxonomic proposals. Please complete all those modules that are applicable (and then delete the unwanted sections). For guidance, see the notes written in blue and the separate document "Help with completing a taxonomic proposal"

Please try to keep related proposals within a single document; you can copy the modules to create more than one genus within a new family, for example.

MODULE 1: TITLE, AUTHORS, etc

Code assigned:	2015.021a,bB (to be completed by ICTV officers)			ICTV		
Short title: To amend the description of the Zeron (e.g. 6 new species in the genus Zetavirus) Modules attached (modules 1 and 10 are required)			; and, add 2 🔀 7 🖂		new specie	es 5 □ 10 ⊠
, , ,		<u> </u>	<i>,</i> [<u>о</u>	<i>,</i>	10
Author(s):						
Andrew M. Kropinski – University of Guelph (Canada) Evelien M. Adriaenssens – University of Pretoria (South Africa) Gabriel F. Kuty Everett - Texas A&M University (USA) Andrey Letarov - Winogradsky Institute of Microbiology (Russia) Elizabeth Kutter – The Evergreen State College (USA) Pascale Boulanger - Université Paris Sud (France) Vladimir N. Ksenzenko – Institute of Protein Research (Russia)						
Corresponding author with e-mail address:						
Andrew M. Kropinski Phage.Canada@gmailcom						
List the ICTV study group(s) that have seen this proposal:						
A list of study groups and contacts is provided at http://www.ictvonline.org/subcommittees.asp . If in doubt, contact the appropriate subcommittee chair (fungal, invertebrate, plant, prokaryote or vertebrate viruses) Bacterial & Archaeal Virus Subcommittee					mittee	
ICTV Study Group comments (if any) and response of the proposer:						
Please note that the Bacterial and Archaeal Virus Subcommittee of ICTV has voted overwhelmingly in favour of eliminating "like" and "Phi" from phage genus names.						
Date first submitted to ICTV: Date of this revision (if differe	Date first submitted to ICTV: May 2015 Date of this revision (if different to above):					
ICTV-EC comments and response of the proposer:						

MODULE 2: NEW SPECIES

creating and naming one or more new species.

If more than one, they should be a group of related species belonging to the same genus. All new species must be placed in a higher taxon. This is usually a genus although it is also permissible for species to be "unassigned" within a subfamily or family. Wherever possible, provide sequence accession number(s) for **one** isolate of each new species proposed.

accession number(s) for one isolate of each new species proposed.							
Code 2015.021aB			(assigned by ICTV officers)				
To create 4 new species within:							
Genus: <i>T5likevirus</i> (proposed name, <i>T5virus</i>)			name,	Fill in all that apply. If the higher taxon has yet to be created (in a later module, below) write			
Subfa	Subfamily:				•	ew)" after its proposed name.	
Fa	ımily:	: Siphoviridae			If no genus is specified, enter """ "" "" "" "" "" "" "" ""		
	Order: Caudovirales			"unassigned" in the genus box.			
-		_	resentative isolate: y 1 per species please)		GenBank sequence accession number(s)		
			scherichia phage B_EcoS_FFH_1		KJ190157		
I		_	monella phage Shivani		KP143763		
		Salm	nonella phage Stitch		KM236244		
		Esch	nerichia phage DT57C		KM979354		

Reasons to justify the creation and assignment of the new species:

- Explain how the proposed species differ(s) from all existing species.
 - If species demarcation criteria (see module 3) have previously been defined for the genus, explain how the new species meet these criteria.
 - o If criteria for demarcating species need to be defined (because there will now be more than one species in the genus), please state the proposed criteria.
- Further material in support of this proposal may be presented in the Appendix, Module 9

Several new T5-like phage genomes have recently been deposited to GenBank. This proposal recognizes the fact that they are part of the *T5virus* genus.

Please note that we have chosen to refer to this new genus as *T5virus* rather than T5likevirus since the Bacterial and Archaeal Virus Subcommittee of ICTV has voted overwhelmingly in favour of eliminating "*like*" and "*Phi*" from phage genus names.

We have chosen 95% DNA sequence identity as the criterion for demarcation of species in this new genus. Each of the proposed species differs from the others with more than 5% at the DNA level as confirmed with the BLASTN algorithm. *Escherichia* phage DT571/2 (KM979355) is a strain of DT57C. It shares 96% identity with DT57C.

BLASTN, CoreGenes (1) (Table 1), and progressiveMauve alignment (2) (Fig. 1) all indicate that the proposed these species are part of the *T5virus*.

MODULE 7: **REMOVE and MOVE**

- Use this module whenever an existing taxon needs to be removed:

 Either to abolish a taxon entirely (when only part (a) needs to be completed)

 Or to move a taxon and re-assign it e.g. when a species is moved from one genus to another (when BOTH parts (a) and (b) should be completed)

Part (a) taxon/taxa to be removed or moved

Code	201	2015.021bB (assigned by IC		CTV officers)		
To remo	To remove the following taxon (or taxa) from their present position:					
Vibrio pl	hage .	149 (type IV) from the T5	likevirus genus			
The pres	The present taxonomic position of these taxon/taxa:					
G	enus:	T5virus		Fill in all that apply.		
Subfa	mily:					
Fa	mily:	Siphoviridae				
C	order:	Caudovirales				
	If the taxon/taxa are to be abolished (i.e. not reassigned to another taxon) write "yes" in the box on the right YES					
Reasons to justify the removal: Explain why the taxon (or taxa) should be removed						
There are no sequence data to justify the presence of this virus in the genus <i>T5virus</i> .						
Part (b) re-assign to a higher taxon						

Code		(assigned by ICTV officers)				
To re-ass	To re-assign the taxon (or taxa) listed in Part (a) as follows:					
		Fill in all that apply.				
Ge	nus:	If the higher taxon has yet to be assets distribute "(non)" after its				
Subfan	nily:	created write "(new)" after its proposed name and complete				
Fan	nily:	relevant module to create it.				
Oı	rder:	If no genus is specified, enter				
		"unassigned" in the genus box.				

Reasons to justify the re-assignment:

- If it is proposed to re-assign species to an existing genus, please explain how the proposed species differ(s) from all existing species.
 - o If species demarcation criteria (see module 3) have previously been defined for the genus, explain how the new species meet these criteria.
 - If criteria for demarcating species need to be defined (because there will now be more than one species in the genus), please state the proposed criteria.
- Provide accession numbers for genomic sequences
- Further material in support of this proposal may be presented in the Appendix, Module 9

MODULE 10: **APPENDIX**: supporting material

additional material in support of this proposal

References:

- 1. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One. 2010; 5(6):e11147.
- 2. Turner D, Reynolds D, Seto D, Mahadevan P. CoreGenes 3.5: a webserver for the determination of core genes from sets of viral and small bacterial genomes. BMC Res Notes. 2013; 6:140.
- 3. Dereeper A, Guignon V, Blanc G, Audic S, Buffet S, Chevenet F, Dufayard JF, Guindon S, Lefort V, Lescot M, Claverie JM, Gascuel O. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36(Web Server issue):W465-9.
- 4. Hong Y, Pan Y, Harman NJ, Ebner PD. Complete Genome Sequences of Two *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Phages Effective in Limiting Contamination of Food Products. Genome Announc. 2014;2(5). pii: e00519-14. [vB_EcoS_FFH_1]
- 5. Grover JM, Luna AJ, Wood TL, Chamakura KR, Kuty Everett GF. Complete Genome of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium T5-Like Siphophage Stitch. Genome Announc. 2015;3(1). pii: e01435-14.

Annex:

Include as much information as necessary to support the proposal, including diagrams comparing the old and new taxonomic orders. The use of Figures and Tables is strongly recommended but direct pasting of content from publications will require permission from the copyright holder together with appropriate acknowledgement as this proposal will be placed on a public web site. For phylogenetic analysis, try to provide a tree where branch length is related to genetic distance.

Table 1A. Properties of the four phages belonging to the genus *T5virus* plus the type virus.

Phage	GenBank	Genome	Genome	No.	No.	DNA (%	Proteome
	accession	length	(mol%G+C)	CDS	tRNAs	sequence	(%
	No.	(kb)				identity)*	homologous
							proteins)**
vB_EcoS_FFH_1	KJ190157.1	108.48	39.2	156	23	82	84.6
Shivani	KP143763	120.10	38.8	171	9	71	81.5
Stitch	KM236244	123.48	40.3	179	25	69	77.8
DT57C	KM979354	108.07	39.7	133	15	71	75.3
T5	AY543070.1	121.75	39.3	162	25	100	100

^{*} Determined using BLASTN relative to T5; ** Determined using CoreGenes (2) relative to T5. N.B. *Escherichia* phage DT571/2 (KM979355) is a strain of DT57C.

Table 1B. Length of terminal direct repeats.

Phage	GenBank accession	Direct terminal		
	No.	repeat length (bp)		
vB_EcoS_FFH_1	KJ190157	ND		
Shivani	KP143763	11123		
Stitch	KM236244	9982		
DT57C	KM979354	7595		
T5	AY543070	10219		

ND = not determined

Fig. 1. progressiveMauve alignment (1) of the annotated genomes of , from top to bottom: T5, DT57C, FFH1, Shivani and Stich. Colored blocks indicate the regions of 1 to 1 best alignment with rearrangement breakpoints in a different random color. The degree of sequence similarity between regions is given by a similarity plot within the colored blocks with the height of the plot proportional to the average nucleotide identity (Aaron Darling, personal communication).

