

This form should be used for all taxonomic proposals. Please complete all those modules that are applicable (and then delete the unwanted sections). For guidance, see the notes written in blue and the separate document "Help with completing a taxonomic proposal"

Please try to keep related proposals within a single document; you can copy the modules to create more than one genus within a new family, for example.

# MODULE 1: TITLE, AUTHORS, etc

Code assigned:	2016.020a-dB			(to be completed by ICTV officers)			
Short title: To create one (1) resiphoviridae. (e.g. 6 new species in the genus a Modules attached (modules 1 and 10 are required)	_		luding two 2 🔀 7 🗌		species in the	5 □ 10 ⊠	
Author(s):							
Johannes Wittmann—Leibniz Institute DSMZ (Germany) Jens H. Kuhn—NIH/NIAID/IRF-Frederick, Maryland (USA) Andrew M. Kropinski—University of Guelph (Canada) Evelien M. Adriaenssens—University of Pretoria (South Africa)							
Corresponding author with e	e-mail address:						
Johannes Wittmann jow12@ds	smz.de						
List the ICTV study group(s)	that have seen	n this pro	posal:				
http://www.ictvonline.org/subcomin doubt, contact the appropriate s	tudy groups and contacts is provided at w.ictvonline.org/subcommittees.asp. If contact the appropriate subcommittee agal, invertebrate, plant, prokaryote or e viruses)  ICTV Bacterial and Archaeal Virus Subcommittee						
ICTV Study Group comments (if any) and response of the proposer:							
Date first submitted to ICTV: Date of this revision (if differe							
ICTV-EC comments and response of the proposer:							

# **MODULE 2: NEW SPECIES**

creating and naming one or more new species.

If more than one, they should be a group of related species belonging to the same genus. All new species must be placed in a higher taxon. This is usually a genus although it is also permissible for species to be "unassigned" within a subfamily or family. Wherever possible, provide sequence accession number(s) for **one** isolate of each new species proposed.

Code $2016.020aB$ (assigned			(assigned by IC	by ICTV officers)			
To create 2	2 ne	w species withi	in:				
Genus: Jwxvirus (new)				Fill in all that apply.  • If the higher taxon has yet to be			
Subfamil	ly:			created (in a later module, below) write "(new)" after its proposed name.			
Famil	ly:	Siphoviridae		If no genus is specified, enter			
Orde	er:	Caudovirales		"unassigned" in the genus box.			
-		Representative isolate 1 per species please)	e: (only	GenBank sequence accession number(s)			
			Achromobacter phage Achromobacter phage		KP202969 KP202970		

## Reasons to justify the creation and assignment of the new species:

- Explain how the proposed species differ(s) from all existing species.
  - If species demarcation criteria (see module 3) have previously been defined for the genus, explain how the new species meet these criteria.
  - o If criteria for demarcating species need to be defined (because there will now be more than one species in the genus), please state the proposed criteria.
- Further material in support of this proposal may be presented in the Appendix, Module 9

We have chosen 95% DNA sequence identity as the criterion for demarcation of species in this new genus. The members of each of the proposed species differ from those of other species by more than 5% at the DNA level as confirmed with the BLASTN algorithm.

### **MODULE 3: NEW GENUS**

creating a new genus

Ideally, a genus should be placed within a higher taxon.

Code	201	6.020bB	(assigned by ICTV officers)			
To create	a new	genus within:		Fill in all that apply.		
Subfa	mily:			If the higher taxon has yet to be created		
Fai	mily:	Siphoviridae		(in a later module, below) write "(new)" after its proposed name.		
O	rder:	Caudovirales		<ul> <li>If no family is specified, enter "unassigned" in the family box</li> </ul>		

naming a new genus

Code	2016.020cB	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To name the	he new genus: Jwxvirus	

Assigning the type species and other species to a new genus

Code	2016.020dB	(assigned by ICTV officers)				
To designate the following as the type species of the new genus						
Achromobacter virus JWX  Every genus must have a type species. This shows be a well characterized species although not necessarily the first to be discovered						
are being r		v species created and assigned to it (Module 2) and any that Please enter here the TOTAL number of species us will contain:				

#### Reasons to justify the creation of a new genus:

Additional material in support of this proposal may be presented in the Appendix, Module 9

BLASTN, CoreGenes (Table 1) [2], progressiveMauve alignment (Fig. 2) [1] and phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 3) [3] all indicate that the proposed genus, *Jwxvirus*, is cohesive and distinct from other genera.

Achromobacter phage JWX has a genome of 49,714 bp in length (G+C content 55.4%), whereas the genome of Achromobacter phage 83-24 consists of 48,216 bp (G+C content 54.8%). Terminal redundancies of 3,358 bp (JWX) and 2,642 bp (83-24) were identified and confirmed via long read sequencing. The genomes encode 68 proteins for Achromobacter phage JWX (coding percentage 90%) and 62 proteins for Achromobacter phage 83-24 (coding percentage 90.6%), both genomes encode a tRNA for proline.

In the genomes there is no indication for prophage functions like a repressor gene or an integrase gene of the serine or tyrosine type. However, in both genomes a gene with a primase-polymerase (primpol) domain is located at the right end (JWAP\_00058 in 83-24 and JWX\_00062 in JWX). Homologs of these genes were already described for pseudomonas and vibrio phages and the deduced gene products were annotated as integrases. In the genome of pseudomonas phage PaMx74, the product is defined as a putative primase/polymerase (YP\_009199514). HHpred

analysis indicates homology to a *Sulfolobus islandicus* primase/polymerase (3m1m; Probab=99.91; E-value=9.2e-25; Score=235.64).

Morphological characterization via transmission electron microscopy revealed that the tails of Achromobacter phages JWX and 83-24 are about 128 nm and 140 nm long, respectively. In addition, Achromobacter phage 83-24 revealed 3-4 tail fibers that could not be identified in JWX (Fig. 1) [4].

#### Origin of the new genus name:

Based upon the name of the first sequenced member of this genus.

#### Reasons to justify the choice of type species:

The first sequenced member of this genus.

#### Species demarcation criteria in the new genus:

If there will be more than one species in the new genus, list the criteria being used for species demarcation and explain how the proposed members meet these criteria.

We have chosen 95% DNA sequence identity as the criterion for demarcation of species in this new genus. The members of each of the proposed species differ from those of other species by more than 5% at the DNA level as confirmed with the BLASTN algorithm.

### MODULE 10: **APPENDIX**: supporting material

additional material in support of this proposal


## **References:**

- 1. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One. 2010; 5(6):e11147.
- 2. Turner D, Reynolds D, Seto D, Mahadevan P. CoreGenes3.5: a webserver for the determination of core genes from sets of viral and small bacterial genomes. BMC Res Notes. 2013; 6:140. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-6-140.
- 3. Dereeper A, Guignon V, Blanc G, Audic S, Buffet S, Chevenet F, Dufayard JF, Guindon S, Lefort V, Lescot M, Claverie JM, Gascuel O. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36(Web Server issue):W465-9.
- 4. Wittmann J, Dreiseikelmann B, Rohde C, Rohde M, Sikorski J (2014) Isolation and Characterization of Numerous Novel Phages Targeting Diverse Strains of the Ubiquitous and Opportunistic Pathogen Achromobacter xylosoxidans. PLoS ONE 9(1): e86935.

#### Annex:

Include as much information as necessary to support the proposal, including diagrams comparing the old and new taxonomic orders. The use of Figures and Tables is strongly recommended but direct pasting of content from publications will require permission from the copyright holder together with appropriate acknowledgement as this proposal will be placed on a public web site. For phylogenetic analysis, try to provide a tree where branch length is related to genetic distance.

**Fig. 1.** Electron micrograph of Achromobacter phage JWX (negative staining (4% (w/v) uranyl acetate, pH 5.0, provided by J. Wittmann).




**Table 1**. Properties of two phages belonging to the genus *Jwxvirus*.

Achromobacter	RefSeq No.	GenBank	Genome	Genome	No.	No.	% Overall	%
phage		Accession	length	(mol%	CDS	tRNA	DNA	homologous
		No.	(kb)	G+C)			relatedness	proteins
JWX	NC_028768.1	KP202969.1	49.71	55.4	67	1	100	100
83-24	NC_028834.1	KP202970.1	48.22	54.9	61	1	65	76.1

<sup>\*</sup> Determined using BLASTN; \*\* Determined using CoreGenes [2].

**Fig. 2.** progressiveMauve alignment [1] of the annotated genomes of members of the *Jwxvirus* genus – from top to bottom: 83-24 and JWX. Colored blocks indicate the regions of 1 to 1 best alignment with rearrangement breakpoints in a different random color. The degree of sequence similarity between regions is given by a similarity plot within the colored blocks with the height of the plot proportional to the average nucleotide identity (Aaron Darling, personal communication).



**Fig. 3.** Phylogenetic analysis of the large subunit terminase proteins of the members of the genus *Jwxvirus* and related viruses constructed using "one click" at phylogeny.fr [3]. "The "One Click mode" targets users that do not wish to deal with program and parameter selection. By default, the pipeline is already set up to run and connect programs recognized for their accuracy and speed (MUSCLE for multiple alignment and PhyML for phylogeny) to reconstruct a robust phylogenetic tree from a set of sequences." It also includes the use of Gblocks to eliminate poorly aligned positions and divergent regions. "The usual bootstrapping procedure is replaced by a new confidence index that is much faster to compute. See: Anisimova M., Gascuel O. Approximate likelihood ratio test for branches: A fast, accurate and powerful alternative (Syst Biol. 2006;55(4):539-52.) for details."

