

Murilo Zerbini <zerbini@ufv.br>

## **RE: Ratification vote**

University of Oxford / University of Edinburgh

My normal working days are Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday

| Oksanen, Hanna M <hanna.oksanen@helsinki.fi> To: Donald Smith <donald.smith.ictv@gmail.com></donald.smith.ictv@gmail.com></hanna.oksanen@helsinki.fi>  | Fri, Apr 26, 2024 at 3:31 AM                         |
|--|--|
| Co: Murilo Zerbini <a href="mailto:decoration: mailto: Weighnalicon">decoration: Murilo Zerbini <a -="" any="" at="" being="" can't="" except="" full<="" has="" href="mailto:decoration: mailto:decoration: mailto:decoration: mailto:decoration: mailto:decoration: mailto:decoration: mailto:decoration: decoration: mailto:decoration: decoration: d&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;Dear Donald,&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;I will also pass this on to the other officers. This is something that could be discussed in Italy.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;Best regards,&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;Hanna&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;From: Donald Smith &lt;donald.smith.ictv@gmail.com&gt; Sent: tiistai 16. huhtikuuta 2024 13.00 To: Oksanen, Hanna M &lt;hanna.oksanen@helsinki.fi&gt; Subject: Ratification vote&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Dear Hanna,&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;I have just returned my votes for the ratification process (as Chair of the &lt;i&gt;Hepeviridae&lt;/i&gt; Study Group). I know that there are his things are done, but I just wanted to let you know my thoughts about the process. I have said the same things to Arcady in process.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;1. I don't imagine that any voters will read all the TPs (I didn't read any as part of voting). A few people will read specific one particular interest, but if they vote against one they will be outnumbered by all the people who didn't read the TP, so the vote " i="" is="" one="" point="" ratification="" rejected="" remember="" so="" step="" td="" the="" to="" tps="" what="" wonder="" yes".=""><td>becomes a rubber-stamp</td></a></a> | becomes a rubber-stamp                               |
| 2. This sense of the vote being a formality is accentuated by having an option to accept all votes with one tick, the alternative TP individually. A cursory reading of the TP titles as I did this took 15 minutes - that is without looking up any TPs - so the te "Yes to all" (5 seconds) and so not even read any of the titles. Would it be possible to break the TPs down by SC so that you in batches? That might encourage a plant virologist, for instance, to look more carefully at the plant TPs, and perhaps abstair would be interesting to know what proportion of voters clicked "Yes to all"   | mptation would be to click could vote Yes/No/abstain |
| There were a few titles with odd characters in them - 138, 164 and one other (on the first page) - hopefully just glitches in g<br>Survey Monkey.  | etting the titles onto                               |
| Best wishes,   |  |
| Donald   |  |
| Dr Donald B. Smith   |  |
| ICTV Report Managing Editor  |  |
| donald.smith.ictv@gmail.com  |  |